

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,078	08/28/2001	Donald Harrell	10251-047	6164
21890	7590 01/26/2006		EXAMINER	
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP			FRENEL, VANEL	
PATENT DEP				
1585 BROADWAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10036-8299			3626	

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	09/942,078	HARRELL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Vanel Frenel	3626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Au	igust 2001.				
<u> </u>	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
a la					
Attackersette					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>01082002</u> .		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/942,078

Art Unit: 3626

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Notice to Applicant

1. This communication is in response to the application filed on 08/28/01. Claims 1-25 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lam et al (2002/0120527) in view of Salloum (2002/0082880).
- (A) As per claim 1, Lam discloses a method for an insurer to automate the transaction of cargo insurance business on-line, comprising the steps of:
- a) . in response to a customer requests on-line for insuring a cargo shipment, generating a cargo insurance policy quotation on-line (See Lam, Page 6, Paragraph 0064),
- (b) upon acceptance of said cargo insurance policy quotation by said customer on-line, generating a bill on-line corresponding to said cargo insurance policy quotation (See Lam, Page 5, Paragraph 0056).

Application/Control Number: 09/942,078 Page 3

Art Unit: 3626

Lam does not explicitly disclose that the method having c) upon acceptance of said bill by said customer on-line, issuing a cargo insurance certificate on-line to said customer,

- (d) determining a reinsurance portion of the value of said cargo insurance certificate automatically, and
- e) processing on-line a subsequent claim from said customer regarding said cargo shipment.

However, these features are known in the art, as evidenced by Salloum. In particular, Salloum suggests disclose that the method having c) upon acceptance of said bill by said customer on-line, issuing a cargo insurance certificate on-line to said customer (See Salloum, Page 2, Paragraphs 0039-0042),

- (d) determining a reinsurance portion of the value of said cargo insurance certificate automatically (See Salloum, Page 3, Paragraphs 0045-0046), and
- e) processing on-line a subsequent claim from said customer regarding said cargo shipment (See Salloum, Page 3, Paragraph 0049).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included the features of Salloum within the system of Lam with the motivation of providing a computerized system for selecting a cargo carrier which includes a host computer system having access to data of a cargo carrier (See Salloum, Page 1, Paragraph 0006).

Art Unit: 3626

(B) As per claim 2, Salloum discloses the method wherein, prior to generating said cargo insurance policy quotation, said customer is pre-qualified, based on said customer's claim and payment history (See Salloum, Page 3, Paragraph 0046).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of Lam and Salloum are as discussed above in the rejection of claim 1, and incorporated herein.

(C) As per claim 3, Salloum discloses the method wherein, prior to generating said cargo insurance policy quotation is based on pre-loaded data, as well as data inputted by said customer (See Salloum, Page 1, Paragraph 0006).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of Lam and Salloum are as discussed above in the rejection of claim 1, and incorporated herein.

- (D) As per claim 4, Lam discloses the method wherein said generation of said cargo insurance policy quotation can be modified on-line by said customer and said insurer interactively (See Lam, Page 6, Paragraphs 0063- 0064).
- (E) As per claim 5, Lam discloses the method wherein when said bill is not acceptable to said customer, said customer is referred to an underwriter (See Lam, Page 5, Paragraphs 0058-0059; Page 6, Paragraphs 0063-0064).
- (F) As per claim 6, Lam discloses the method wherein said bill is paid on-line (See Lam, Page 5, Paragraphs 0058-0059).

Application/Control Number: 09/942,078

Page 5

Art Unit: 3626

(G) As per claim 7, Lam discloses the method wherein said cargo insurance certificate is issued on-line (See Lam, Page 5, Paragraphs 0058-0059).

- (H) As per claim 8, Lam discloses the method wherein a risk evaluation of said cargo shipment is made, based on pre-determined limits and restrictions (See Page 6, Paragraph 0063).
- (I) As per claim 9, Lam discloses the method wherein detail data of said cargo shipment is validated (See Lam, Page 4, Paragraphs 0042-0043).
- (J) As per claim 10, Lam discloses the method wherein said reinsurance portion is allocated in accordance with a quota share calculation (See Lam, Page 4, Paragraph 0052).
- (K) As per claim 11, Lam discloses the method wherein said reinsurance portion is allocated in accordance with an excess loss calculation (See Lam, Page 4, Paragraphs 0045-0047).
- (L) As per claim 12, Lam discloses the method wherein said subsequent claim from said customer requires an investigation (See Lam, Page 5, Paragraph 0059).

Application/Control Number: 09/942,078 Page 6

Art Unit: 3626

(M) As per claim 13, Lam discloses the method wherein said subsequent claim from said customer is paid without requiring an investigation (See Lam, Page 5, Paragraph 0061).

- (N) As per claim 14, Lam discloses the method wherein management reports are generated by said insurer (See Lam Page 6, Paragraph 0064).
- (O) Claim 15 differs from claim 1 by reciting storage media comprising a plurality of software routines for an insurer to automate the transaction of cargo insurance business said plurality of software routines comprising.

As per this limitation, it is noted that Lam discloses a) a first software routine for generating a cargo insurance policy quotation in response to a customer request to insure a cargo shipment (See Lam, Page 6, Paragraph 0064),

- b) a second software routine for generating a bill corresponding to said cargo insurance policy quotation upon acceptance of said cargo insurance policy quotation by said customer (See Lam, Page 5, Paragraph 0059), and Salloum discloses
- c) a third software routine for issuing a cargo insurance certificate to said customer upon acceptance of said bill by said customer (See Salloum, Page 2, Paragraphs 0039-0042),
- d) a fourth software routine for determining a reinsurance portion of the value of said cargo insurance certificate automatically (See Salloum, Page 3, Paragraphs 0045-0046), and

e) a fifth software routine for processing a subsequent claim from said customer regarding said cargo shipment (See Salloum, Page 3, Paragraph 0049).

Thus, it is readily apparent to utilize the storage media comprising a plurality of software routines for an insurer to automate the transaction of cargo insurance business said plurality of software routines to perform their specified function.

The remaining of claim 15 is also rejected for the same reasons given above in claim 1, and incorporated herein.

(P) As per claim 16, Salloum discloses the storage media further comprising a sixth software routine for pre- qualifying said customer, based on a claim and payment history of said customer, prior to generating said cargo insurance policy quotation (See Salloum, Page 2, Paragraph 0039).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of Lam and Salloum are as discussed above in the rejection of claims 1 and 15, and incorporated herein.

- (Q) As per claim 18, Lam discloses the storage media further comprising a seventh software routine for referring said customer to an underwriter when said bill is not acceptable to said customer (See Lam, Page 2, Paragraph 0020).
- (R) As per claim19, Salloum discloses the storage media further comprising an eighth software routine for processing payment of said bill (See Salloum, Page 3, Paragraph 0046).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of Lam and Salloum are as discussed above in the rejection of claims 1 and 15, and incorporated herein.

(S) As per claim 20, Salloum discloses the storage media further comprising a ninth software routine for issuing said cargo insurance certificate (See Salloum, Page 2, Paragraphs 0038-0041).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of Lam and Salloum are as discussed above in the rejection of claims 1 and 15, and incorporated herein.

(T) As per claim 22, Salloum discloses the storage media further comprising an eleventh software routine for validating detail data of said cargo shipment (See Salloum, Page 2, Paragraphs 0038-0041).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of Lam and Salloum are as discussed above in the rejection of claims 1 and 15, and incorporated herein.

(U) Claims 17, 21 and 23-25 recite the underlying process of the elements of claims 3, 8, 10-11 and 14, and respectively. As the various elements of claims 3, 8, 10-11 and 14 have been shown to be either disclosed by or obvious in view of the collective teachings of Lam and Salloum, it is readily apparent the disclosed by the applied prior art performs the recited underlying functions. As such, the limitations recited in claims 17, 21 and 23-25 are rejected for the same reasons given for the method claims 3, 8, 10-11 and 14, and incorporated herein.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,078

Art Unit: 3626

Conclusion

Page 9

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited but not the applied art teaches master universal tariff system and method (2002/0091574).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vanel Frenel whose telephone number is 571-272-6769. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas can be reached on 571-272-6776. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

V.F

January 19, 2006

JOSEPH THOMAS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER